## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## Docket No. 36880

STATE OF IDAHO,		2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 620	
Plaintiff-Re	spondent,	Filed: August 26, 2010	
v.	)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk	
CANDICE NADINE McCREATH,		THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT	
Defendant-A	Appellant. )	OPINION AND SHALL NOT BE CITED AS AUTHORITY	
County. However denying Molly J. However Appellate Purents of the County. However the county is a county. However the county is a county is a county in the county in the county is a county in the county in the county is a county in the county in the county in the county is a county in the county in the county is a county in the county in the county in the county is a county in the county ind	n. Michael E. Wetherell, Distr ng I.C.R. 35 motion for reduct askey, State Appellate Public ablic Defender, Boise, for appe	ion of sentence, <u>affirmed</u> .  c Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy	
	Before LANSING, Chief Jud	lge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;	

PER CURIAM

Candice Nadine McCreath pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance. I.C. § 37-2732(c). The district court sentenced McCreath to a unified term of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. The district court retained jurisdiction and sent McCreath to participate in the rider program. Following completion of her rider, McCreath was placed on probation. After violating her probation on several occasions, the district court revoked probation and ordered execution of the original sentence. McCreath filed an I.C.R 35 motion, which the district court denied. McCreath appeals.

and MELANSON, Judge

Idaho Criminal Rule 35 provides that a motion for reduction of sentence must be filed within fourteen days from the district court's order revoking probation. McCreath acknowledges that her motion was not filed within fourteen days after the district court revoked her probation.

Therefore, McCreath's Rule 35 motion was untimely, and the district court did not err in its denial. Accordingly, McCreath's appeal from the district court's order denying her Rule 35 motion is hereby affirmed.